FINAL SURVEY RESULTS JUNE 7, 2018

NYS Tug Hill Commission Local Leaders Survey – 2018

369 surveys mailed out on April 17, 2018/236 surveys returned for a return rate of 64%.

Section 1. Local Leader Profile

Your responses to this survey are strictly confidential, unless you choose to share your name at the end. Please give us the following information to help us match responses by geographic area and your involvement in local matter.

| 1. | In what town or village do you live? | | | | 70% Town 25% Village | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------|---|----------------------|---|----------------|---|-----------------|---|--------------------------|
| 5 | Adams(T) | 5 | Camden(V) | 3 | Forestport | 6 | Martinsburg | 4 | Rodman | 5 | West Monroe |
| 3 | Adams(V) | 1 | Castorland(V) | 3 | Harrisburg | 3 | Montague | 2 | Rutland | 4 | West Turin |
| 2 | Albion | 3 | Central Square(V) | 2 | Hastings | 3 | Orwell | 2 | Steuben | 2 | Williamstown |
| 4 | Annsville | 4 | Champion | 3 | Holland Patent(V) | 4 | Osceola | 2 | Sylvan Beach(V) | 3 | Worth |
| 4 | Amboy | 3 | Cleveland(V) | 2 | Lee | 8 | Parish(T) | 2 | Trenton | | Do not live in TH region |
| 4 | Ava | 2 | Constableville(V) | 8 | Lewis | 2 | Parish(V) | 4 | Turin(T) | | |
| 3 | Black River(V) | 5 | Constantia | 4 | Leyden | 2 | Pinckney | 3 | Turin(V) | | |
| 5 | Boonville(T) | 1 | Copenhagen(V) | 5 | Lorraine | 1 | Port Leyden(V) | 4 | Vienna | | |

4

1

Redfield

Remsen(T)

Remsen(V)

Watertown

West Carthage(V)

Western

1

Lowville(T)

Lowville(V)

Lyons Falls(V)

Other

3

Denmark

Florence

Floyd

Boonville(V)

Boylston

Camden(T)

| Other | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| City of Watertown (2) | Town of Greig | Village of Pulaski |
| Village of Carthage (2) | Village of Brownville | Village of Ilion, Herkimer County |
| Village of Deferiet (2) | Town of Mexico | North Elba, Essex County |
| Town of Wilna (7) | Town of Madrid, St Lawrence County | Town of Marcellus, Onondaga County |
| Town of LeRay | Deerfield (County legislator) | City of Syracuse, Onondaga County |
| Town of Sandy Creek (2) | Town of Dekalb, St Lawrence County | Town of Marcy |
| Town of Clay | Village of Phoenix | Not in the area |
| Town of Richland | Town of Scriba (2) | City of Oswego |

In what county do you live?

24% Jefferson 26% Lewis 23% Oneida 23% Oswego 3% other

2. Which of the following organizations or groups are you currently a member?

7

| 22% | town board | 9% | village board | 6% | farm or forestry organization |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|--|------|----------------------------------|
| 15% | planning board | 9% | economic dev group/chamber of commerce | 6% | fire company/rescue squad |
| 14% | town clerk | 7% | environmental/historical/land trust org. | 5% | county governmental agency |
| 12% | highway/public works superintendent | 7% | village clerk | 0.5% | % school/other district board(s) |
| 10% | hunting or fishing club | 6% | outdoor recreation club | 12% | other |
| 10% | zoning board of appeals | 6% | other town/village official | | |
| 10% | council of governments | 6% | county legislator | | |

Other

| Mayor (2) | NYS DEC (3) | Town historian/town BAR | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Town supervisor (2) | NYSERDA Board | Town engineer | | | | | |
| Supervisor/Library board | American Legion Aux | Boy Scouts (2) | | | | | |
| Deputy supervisor | Not for profit (2) | Soil and Water | | | | | |
| North Country Clerk/Treasurers Association | Watershed agency | Home consortium | | | | | |
| Planner | Work for nonprofit | Cooperative Extension | | | | | |
| State agency | Library board, church organization | | | | | | |
| Grass roots community group (drug prevention and community improvement, Lewis County – it's real and it's local) | | | | | | | |

3. How often have you worked with Tug Hill Commission staff (or circuit riders) in the last five years?

51% Frequently 37% Occasionally 12% Not at all

FINAL SURVEY RESULTS JUNE 7, 2018

Section 2. Program Effectiveness and Importance

The Commission's mission is "helping local governments and citizens shape the future of the Tug Hill region." Its legislative charge calls for enhancing the region's environment and economy through work with local governments and organizations. In light of this, please rank the Commission's programs for IMPORTANCE (in Question 4) and EFFECTIVENESS (in Question 5).

4. How would you rate the *IMPORTANCE* of the following Tug Hill Commission programs to the future of the Tug Hill region? (Please check () only one for each category.) (E=Essential, I=Important, NI=Not Important, DK=Don't Know)

| | \boldsymbol{E} | I | NI | DK |
|---|------------------|-----|------|-----|
| Workshops to increase local officials' skills including annual Local Government Conference | 65% | 31% | 0.4% | 2% |
| Helping communities find money for water, sewer, housing, and similar grants and loans | 64% | 31% | 1% | 3% |
| Land use planning assistance to towns and villages | 59% | 37% | 1% | 2% |
| Support to groups of communities working together, especially local councils of governments (Cooperative Tug Hill Council, Northern Oneida County COG, North Shore COG, River Area COG and Salmon Rivers COG) | 57% | 38% | 1% | 3% |
| Helping communities find money for parks, recreation, downtown revitalization | 53% | 40% | 3% | 3% |
| Helping communities protect the environment | 52% | 41% | 2% | 4% |
| Helping towns and villages in solving day-to-day legal, accounting, computer, and similar problems | 46% | 42% | 6% | 5% |
| Circuit rider attendance at municipal board meetings | 43% | 44% | 6% | 4% |
| Helping recreation trail development (skiing, ATV's, snowmobiles, scenic highways, etc.) | 39% | 54% | 4% | 2% |
| Educational programs to increase local citizens' and children's understanding of natural and cultural resources | 37% | 51% | 3% | 8% |
| Technical assistance to farmers and farm businesses | 33% | 50% | 6% | 10% |
| Technical assistance to forest landowners and forest products businesses | 32% | 52% | 7% | 9% |
| Technical assistance to chambers of commerce and similar groups | 25% | 56% | 7% | 11% |
| | | | | |

5. How would you rate the *EFFECTIVENESS* of the following programs of the Tug Hill Commission? (Please check () only one for each category.) (E=Excellent, G=Good, F=Fair, P=Poor, NEI=Not Enough Info to Rate)

| | | E | G | F | P | NEI |
|---|---|-----|-----|----|------|-----|
| Workshops to increase local officials' skills including | g annual Local Government Conference | 66% | 25% | 3% | 0.4% | 4% |
| Circuit rider attendance at municipal board meeting | 5 | 54% | 24% | 3% | 1% | 15% |
| Land use planning assistance to towns and villages | | 53% | 32% | 5% | 0% | 8% |
| | especially local councils of governments (Cooperative Tug 5 Shore COG, River Area COG and Salmon Rivers COG) | 50% | 32% | 3% | 0.4% | 11% |
| Helping communities protect the environment | 3 | 38% | 39% | 4% | 0.4% | 17% |
| Helping communities find money for water, sewer, | nousing, and similar grants and loans | 36% | 36% | 7% | 0.4% | 20% |
| Helping communities find money for parks, recreati | on, downtown revitalization | 35% | 34% | 9% | 1% | 20% |
| Helping towns and villages in solving day-to-day le | gal, accounting, computer, and similar problems | 35% | 30% | 6% | 1% | 26% |
| Educational programs to increase local citizens' and | children's understanding of natural and cultural resources | 28% | 39% | 8% | 2% | 22% |
| Helping recreation trail development (skiing, ATV's | , snowmobiles, scenic highways, etc.) | 27% | 40% | 8% | 2% | 22% |
| Technical assistance to forest landowners and forest | products businesses | 23% | 31% | 5% | 1% | 38% |
| Technical assistance to chambers of commerce and | similar groups | 22% | 26% | 7% | 0.4% | 42% |
| Technical assistance to farmers and farm businesses | 2 | 21% | 26% | 6% | 2% | 43% |

6. How would you rate the Tug Hill Commission on the following characteristics? (Please check () only one for each characteristic) (E=Excellent, G=Good, F=Fair, P=Poor, DK=Don't Know)

| | E | G | F | P | DK |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|----|------|----|
| Cooperation | 74% | 21% | 1% | 0% | 3% |
| Expertise | 69% | 24% | 2% | 0% | 4% |
| Understanding area needs | 64% | 26% | 4% | 0% | 5% |
| Promptness | 62% | 28% | 2% | 0% | 7% |
| Objectivity | 54% | 35% | 3% | 0.4% | 7% |
| Innovativeness | 50% | 35% | 4% | 1% | 8% |

FINAL SURVEY RESULTS JUNE 7, 2018

Section 3. Commission Role

The Tug Hill Commission provides for the future of the region through help to local governments and organizations. It is a provider of information, expertise, and service. It does not regulate. It does not set policy for the region. Please let us know how you feel about this in answering the following questions. (Please check () the **one** answer that best represents your opinion.)

7. Should the Tug Hill Commission continue its mission? (Mission - Helping local governments and citizens shape the future of the Tug Hill region.)

81% Strongly Agree 16% Agree 0.4% Disagree 0% Strongly disagree 2% Don't know

8. How important do you feel local control is to your community?

34% Very important 9% Moderately important 3% Slightly important 0% Not important at all 3% Don't know

9. Does the Tug Hill Commission work to enhance local control?

58% Almost always 21% Often 7% Sometimes 0.4% Almost never 13% Don't know

10. How important do you think intermunicipal cooperation and planning are to the Tug Hill Region?

77% Very important 16% Moderately important 2% Slightly important 0.4% Not important at all 3% Don't know

11. Does the Tug Hill Commission work effectively to support cooperation and planning?

65% Almost always 22% Often 4% Sometimes 0% Almost never 8% Don't know

12. "The Tug Hill Commission provides services which local governments need and cannot afford by themselves."

66% Strongly agree 25% Agree 0.4% Disagree 0% Strongly disagree 8% Don't know